

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11 UNIVERSAL TRADING & INVESTMENT  
12 CO.,

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.  
15 **ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND  
16 DENYING IN PART PLAINTIFF'S  
17 MOTION TO COMPEL**18 PETRO MIKOLAYEVICH  
19 KIRITCHENKO, et al.,

20 Defendants.

---

21 Plaintiff Universal Trading & Investment Co. moved to compel Defendant Petro  
22 Mikolayevich Kiritchenko to produce a number of categories of documents. At the hearing, the  
23 parties executed a stipulation by which Kiritchenko agreed to produce documents that he argued  
24 were protected by his Fifth Amendment rights against self-incrimination. See Docket No. 982. That  
25 part of Plaintiff's motion to compel accordingly is DENIED AS MOOT. Plaintiff's motion to  
compel Defendant to produce documents from Ukrainian courts is DENIED, except that Defendant  
shall produce docket sheets reflecting docket entries entered after its last production of docket  
sheets, through the date of this Order. Plaintiff's motion to compel Defendant to produce  
correspondence between himself and/or his agents and the General Prosecutor's Office of Ukraine is  
DENIED. Plaintiff's motion to compel Defendant to produce a privilege log is GRANTED as to  
documents created before the commencement of this action (or related matters), and DENIED as to

1 documents created afterwards. Plaintiff's motion for sanctions is DENIED.

2

3 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

4

5 Dated: March 21, 2006

*Elizabeth D. Laporte*

6 ELIZABETH D. LAPORTE  
7 United States Magistrate Judge

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28